

A Deep Learning Approach for Rainfall Estimation from Dual-Polarization Radar and Gauges Measurements for Landfall Typhoon in South China

Yonghua Zhang⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾, Liping Liu^{(4)*}, Shuoben Bi^{(1)*}, Yi Zhang⁽³⁾, Yaqiang Wang⁽²⁾, Ping Shen⁽⁵⁾, Yang Zhang ⁽³⁾ and Yu

Huang⁽⁴⁾

School of Geographic science, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing 210044, Jiangsu, China
 Institute of Artificial Intelligence for Meteorology, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081, China
 Guangdong Meteorological Public Service Center, Guangzhou 510641, Guangdong, China

(4) State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081, China

(5) Guangdong Emergency Early Warning Release Center, Guangzhou 510641, Guangdong, China

*liulp@cma.gov.cn and *bishuoben@163.com

Abstract

At present, the raindrop size distribution (DSD) fitting method and traditional neural network method are the main quantitative precipitation estimation (QPE) algorithms. The former is not enough to express the spatiotemporal variability of the DSDs using generalized Z-R parameter relationship, and the latter is limited by the number of network layers, network structure and computing power. Their performance cannot both meet actual needs. In this research, we propose an alternative approach to dualpolarization radar (DPR) QPE. In particular, a radar-gauges dataset (RGD) is constructed for QPE based deep learning using radar raw data and gauges measurements for landfall typhoon in South China, and a deep learning model is designed and trained using this dataset. The model is applied to radar data to produce rainfall estimates. Preliminary results show the promising performance of this novel method compared to traditional QPE estimators.

1. Introduction

The heavy rainfall of landfall typhoons is one of the main natural disasters that cause life and economic losses in South China [1, 2]. The QPE algorithms for typhoon precipitation are different from the other precipitation, because of the differences in the DSD [3, 4]. In principle, the functional relation between rain rate on the ground and radar observations aloft can be obtained from measurements [5]. However, it is difficult to present this functional relation in a simple form duo to the complex spatiotemporal variability in precipitation microphysics [6, 7]. QPE algorithms have been studied in last two decades using dual-polarization radar measurements. The two mainstream methods of QPE are the DSD fitting [8-15] and traditional neural network [16-20]. The former is not enough to express the spatiotemporal variability of the DSDs using generalized Z-R parameter relationship [21, 22], and the latter is limited by the number of network layers, network structure and computing power [23, 24].

Prior research has shown that deep leaning can be used to estimate surface rainfall from radar measurements [17, 18, 25, 26]. This deep neural networks approach can fit the complex functional relation from high dimension input space (i.e., radar data) to the target space (i.e., rain gauge measurements) [5]. However, the utilization of deep learning in QPE is subject to many factors such as the representativeness and sufficiency of the training dataset and the ability of computing power and the generalization capability of the trained model to new data [19, 27].

In addition, most of the previous studies focused on single polarization radar and the Constant Altitude Plan Position Indicator of DRP (i.e., reflectivity) [5] and simulated DRP data (i.e., DSD) [28, 29]. Similar application of DPR raw data is yet to be explored for landfall typhoon in South China. Based deep neural networks, this study aims to quest DPR QPE using radar raw data and rain gauge measurements, and evaluate the QPR QPE performance in landfall typhoon precipitation even.

2. Data Set

The research objects are 11 typhoon rainfall events in South China, during 2017-2019.



Figure 1. Locations of Guangzhou radar (red fork) and gauges (black dots), and typhoon paths.

Figure 1 shows the locations of radar and gauges used in building RGD, and 11 typhoon paths related.

Table 1 illustrates the sample information of constructed RGD, using Guangzhou radar raw data and gauges measurements of 11 typhoon rainfall events.

Table 1. The sample information of RDG.

#	Tc Name (No.)	Num. of samples in Dataset		
1	Merbok (1702)	4502		
2	Hato (1713)	19188		
3	Pakhar (1714)	30401		
4	Mawar (1716)	8992		
5	Khanun (1720)	11880		
6	Ewiniar (1804)	115737		
7	Bebinca (1816)	63874		
8	Mangkhut (1822)	47220		
9	Barijat (1823)	1412		
10	Wipha (1907)	32099		
11	Bailu (1911)	39935		
	Total	375240		

3. Methodology



Figure 2. Flow chart for the training of the QPENet model (Lower) based on deep learning and its use to estimate precipitation rate (Upper).

Figure 2 illustrates the process of training the deep learning-based QPE network (QPENet) and its application system. The key component is a machine learning model trained using DPR raw moments and corresponding rain measurement from rain gauges. The QPENet model equation can be expressed in a general from as [5]:

$$\mathbf{y}_1 = f(\mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{b}_1) \tag{1a}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_n = f(\mathbf{w}_n \mathbf{y}_{n-1} + \mathbf{b}_n) \tag{1b}$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = f(\mathbf{w}_{n+1}\mathbf{y}_n + \mathbf{b}_{n+1}) \tag{1c}$$

where **x** is the input $13 \times 13 \times 3$ matrix consisting of DPR Z_h, Z_{dr}, K_{dp} observables; $y_1 \cdots y_n$ are the outputs of hidden layers from left to right, \mathbf{w}_1 is the weight vector for the input matrix, and $\mathbf{w}_2 \cdots \mathbf{w}_{n+1}$ are the weights of the n hidden layer outputs, respectively; $\mathbf{b}_1 \cdots \mathbf{b}_{n+1}$ are the bias terms associated with the input, hidden and output layers; **Z** is the output (i.e., DPR precipitation estimates) that will be compared with the target labels (i.e., gauge measured rainfall).

In this paper, the Guangzhou radar moments and corresponding gauge measurements are used for training the QPENet model. From the eleven typhoon events, randomly select one event as the test set, and other events as the training set.

4. Preliminary Results

Table 2. Evaluated scores for 2 kinds QPE algorithms of all hourly rainfall of the Merbok (1702) event.

QPE algorithm	CC	RMSE	NB (%)	NE (%)	Bias
QPENet	0.94	1.41	7.55	36.07	1.08
$QPE_{DSD}[30]$	0.93	3.14	-15.51	43.63	0.84

In order to demonstrate the performance of the designed QPENet model, we compare the deep learning-based model with the traditional DSD fitting method [30, 31]. In [30], Zhang and Liu have proposed a QPE algorithm for landfall typhoon in South China and showed the promising performance using local DSD data. Table 2 shows the QPENet based on deep learning has better performance than the traditional DSD fitting method.



Figure 3. (a) and (b) are scattergrams of rainfall rates estimated using the traditional DSD fitting model in [30] and using the QPENet model based on deep learning from Guangzhou radar versus hourly rainfall measurements from rain gauges during Merbok event, respectively.

Figure 3 illustrates scatter plots of rainfall estimates from both traditional DSD fitting method and deep learning based approach. In particular, Figure 3(a) shows the rain rate estimates from the traditional DSD fitting model in [30] versus hourly rainfall measurements from during Merbok event. Figure 3(b) shows the rain rate estimates from the QPENet model versus trained hourly rainfall measurements from during Merbok event. Compared to traditional DSD fitting method, the deep learning model can greatly reduce the parameterization errors associated with the empirical non-linear regress [29], demonstrating promising performance of the proposed algorithm.

5. Acknowledgements

This research was primarily supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant Nos. 2018YFC1507401 and 2019YFC1510203), the Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (Grant No. 2020B0101130021), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 41971340), the Graduate Student Scientific Innovation Projects in Jiangsu Province (Grant No. KYLX15_0870), Special Development of Key Technologies for Meteorological Forecasting Operations (YBGJXM (2020), 2A-08), and convective weather forecasting technology innovation team of Guangdong Provincial Meteorological Bureau.

6. References

[1] Zhang, J.X.; Ping-ri, L.I.; Guang-qing, H.; Zhang, H.O., 2007: Risk assessment of swingeing stom surge disaster in coastal area of China induced by typhoonbased on information diffusion method. Chin. *J. Trop. Geogr.*, **27**, 11.

[2] Haiyan, N.; Min, L.; Min, L.; Ruisong, Q.; Jingjing, W.; Ning, Z., 2011: Losses assessment of typhoon disaster in China coastal areas. *Chin. J. Catastrophol.*, **26**, 61–64–14.

[3] Rosenfeld, D.; Ulbrich, C.W., 2003: Cloud microphysical properties, processes, and rainfall estimation opportunities. Radar and Atmospheric Science: A Collection of Essays in Honor of David Atlas, Meteor. Monogr. *Am. Meteor. Soc.* **30**, 237–258.

[4] Zhang, G.; Sun, J.; Brandes, E.A, 2006: Improving parameterization of rain microphysics with disdrometer and radar observations. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **63**, 1273–1290. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAS3680.1].

[5] Chen, H., Chandrasekar, V., Tan, H., & Cifelli, R., 2019: Rainfall estimation from ground radar and TRMM Precipitation Radar using hybrid deep neural networks. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **46**. [https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084771].

[6] Cifelli, R., Chandrasekar, V., Chen, H., & Johnson, L. E., 2018: High resolution radar quantitative precipitation estimation in the San Francisco Bay Area: Rainfall monitoring for the urban environment. *Journal of the*

Meteorological Society of Japan, **96A(0)**, 141–155. [https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2018-016].

[7] Gou, Y., Ma, Y., Chen, H., & Wen, Y., 2017: Radarderived quantitative precipitation estimation in complex terrain over the eastern Tibetan Plateau. *Atmospheric Research*, **203**, 286–297. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.12.017].

[8] Ryzhkov, A.V.; Zrni'c, D.S., 1995: Comparison of dual–polarization radar estimators of rain. *J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol.* **12**, 249–256. [https://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(1995)012<0249:CODPRE>2.0.CO;2].

[9] Ryzhkov, A.V.; Zrni'c, D.S., 1996: Assessment of rainfall measurement that uses specific differential phase. *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, **35**, 2080–2090.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1996)035<2080:AORMTU>2.0.CO;2].

[10] Brandes, E. A., R. V. Ryzhkov, and D. S. Zrnic', 2001: An evaluation of radar rainfall estimates from specific differential phase. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **18**, 363– 375, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2001)018,0363:AEORRE.2.0.CO;2.].

[11 Zhang, G.; Vivekanandan, J.; Brandes, E., 2001: A method for estimating rain rate and drop size distribution from polarimetric radar measurements. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, **39**, 830–841. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/36.917906].

[12] Ryzhkov, A.V.; Giangrande, S.E.; Schuur, T.J., 2005a: Rainfall estimation with a polarimetric prototype of WSR– 88D. J. Appl. Meteorol., 44, 502–515.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAM2213.1]

[13] Ryzhkov, A.V.; Schuur, T.J.; Burgess, D.W.; Heinselman, P.; Zrni'c, D.S., 2005b: The joint polarization experiment: Polarimetric rainfall measurements and hydrometeor classification. Bull. *Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **86**, 809–824. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-86-6-809].

[14] Bringi, V.N.; Rico-Ramirez, M.A.; Thurai, M., 2011: Rainfall Estimation with an Operational Polarimetric C-Band Radar in the United Kingdom: Comparison with a Gauge Network and Error Analysis. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, **12**, 935–954. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-10-05013.1].

[15] You, C.H.; Kang, M.Y.; Lee, D.I.; Uyeda, H., 2014: Rainfall estimation by S-band polarimetric radar in Korea. Part I: Preprocessing and preliminary results. *Meteorol. Appl.*, **21**, 975–983. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/met.1454].

[16] Xiao, R., and V. Chandrasekar, 1995: Multiparameter radar rainfall estimation using neural network techniques, Proceedings, *Intl. Conf. Radar Meteor.*, Vail, CO, pp. 199–201, *Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, Boston, Mass.

[17] Xiao, R., and V. Chandrasekar, 1997: Development of neural network based algorithm for rainfall estimation based on radar measurements, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, **35**, 160–171.

[18] Hongping Liu, V. Chandrasekar and Gang Xu, 2001: An adaptive neural Network Scheme for Radar Rainfall Estimation from WSR-88 D Observation, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **40**, 2038–2050.

[19] Gang Xu and V. Chandrasekar, 2005: Operational Feasibility of Neural-Network-Based Radar Rainfall Estimation, *IEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing*, **2**, 1, 13–17.

[20] Vulpiani, G., S. Giangrande, and F. S. Marzano, 2009: Rainfall estimation from polarimetric S-band radar measurements: Validation of a neutral network approach, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.*, **48**, 2022–2036.

[21] Chen, H., V. Chandrasekar, and R. Bechini, 2017: An Improved Dual-Polarization Radar Rainfall Algorithm (DROPS2.0): Application in NASA IFloodS Field Campaign. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, **18**, 917-937.

[22] Chen, H., and V. Chandrasekar, 2015: Estimation of Light Rainfall Using Ku-Band Dual-Polarization Radar. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, **53(9)**, 5197-5208.

[23] Tan, H.; Chandra, C. V.; Chen, H., 2016: A Deep Neural Network Model for Rainfall Estimation Using Polarimetric WSR-88DP Radar Observations. American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting 2016, abstract #IN11B-1622.//https://agu.confex.com/agu/fm16/meetingapp.cgi/P aper/196830.

[24] Tan, Haiming, V. Chandrasekar, and Haonan Chen, 2017: A Machine Learning Model for Radar Rainfall Estimation Based on Gauge Observations. 2017 United States National Committee of URSI National Radio Science Meeting, USNC-URSI NRSM 2017.

[25] Chandrasekar, V., and Coauthors, 2014: Rainfall estimation from spaceborne and ground based radars using neural networks. Proc. of the IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 4966-4969, Quebec City, QC.

[26] Orlandini, S., & Morlini, I., 2000: Artificial neural network estimation of rainfall intensity from radar observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **105(D20)**, **24**, 849–24, 861. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD90</u>0408.

[27] Teschl, R., Randeu, W. L., & Teschl, F., 2007:

Improving weather radar estimates of rainfall using feed -

forward neural networks. Neural Networks, **20(4)**, 519–527. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2007.04.005</u>.

[28] H. Chen and V. Chandrasekar, 2018: A Machine Learning-based Approach to Pseudo-Radar Rainfall Estimation Using Disdrometer Data, 2018 2nd URSI Atlantic Radio Science Meeting (AT-RASC), Meloneras, **pp. 1-2**, doi: 10.23919/URSI-AT-RASC.2018.8471451.

[29] H. Chen, V. Chandrasekar and R. Cifelli, 2019: A Deep Learning Approach to Dual-Polarization Radar Rainfall Estimation, 2019 URSI Asia-Pacific Radio Science Conference (AP-RASC), New Delhi, India, **pp. 1-**2, doi: 10.23919/URSIAP-RASC.2019.8738337.

[30] Zhang Y, Liu L, Bi S, Wu Z, Shen P, Ao Z, Chen C, Zhang Y, 2019: Analysis of Dual-Polarimetric Radar

Variables and Quantitative Precipitation Estimators for Landfall Typhoons and Squall Lines Based on Disdrometer Data in Southern China. Atmosphere. **10(1)**:30. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10010030.

[31] Zhang, Y.; Liu, L.; Wen, H.; Wu, C.; Zhang, Y., 2018: Evaluation of the polarimetric-radar quantitative precipitation estimates of an extremely heavy rainfall event and nine common rainfall events in Guangzhou. Atmosphere, **9**, doi:10.3390/atmos9090330.